



Land Transport (Vehicle User Safety) Amendment Bill

NZAA submission

The New Zealand Automobile Association Incorporated

342-352 Lambton Quay PO Box 1

Wellington 6140 NEW ZEALAND 31 May 2017

Page 1 of 3

| SUBMISSION TO: | Transport and Industrial Relations Select |
|----------------|---|
| | |

Committee

REGARDING: Land Transport (Vehicle User Safety)

Amendment Bill

DATE: 31 May 2017

ATTENTION: Committee Secretariat

ADDRESS: Transport and Industrial Relations

Select Committee Services

Parliament Buildings

Wellington 6160

SUBMISSION AUTHORISED BY Mike Noon

General Manager, Motoring Affairs

New Zealand Automobile Association Incorporated

P.O Box 1

Wellington

SUBMISSION AUTHOR Mark Stockdale

AUTHOR E-MAIL <u>mstockdale@aa.co.nz</u>

AUTHOR PHONE (04) 931 9986

NOTE TO REQUESTOR

The NZ Automobile Association does not wish to present this submission orally.

This submission may be freely copied, cited and distributed but not altered. The NZ Automobile Association asserts its claim to authorship of this submission.

Page 2 of 3

Background on the New Zealand Automobile Association

The NZ Automobile Association (NZAA) is an incorporated society with 1.5 million Members.

Originally founded in 1903 as an automobile users advocacy group today it represents the

interests of road users who collectively pay over \$2 billion in taxes each year through fuel

excise, road user charges, registration fees, ACC levies, and GST. The NZAA's advocacy and

policy work mainly focuses on protecting the freedom of choice and rights of motorists, keeping

the cost of motoring fair and reasonable, and enhancing the safety of all road users.

AA submission

The NZAA fully supports the proposed Bill.

The NZAA believes that vehicle window washers can be intimidating to motorists when soliciting

for money, create a nuisance to road users and can obstruct traffic. They also pose a safety

hazard by typically operating at busy, often multi-lane, controlled intersections, and will often be

on the road when traffic signals change. This obstructive behaviour may delay vehicles moving

off, which has a flow-on negative impact on smooth traffic flows and congestion, but also risks

injury to themselves if they are not fully aware of the traffic around them. This is unsafe

behaviour and should be discouraged.

The NZAA believes these are good grounds to introduce an offence for such behaviour, and

therefore we endorse the intent of the proposed Bill and urge that it be adopted.

Regarding the alleged service that window washers provide, the NZAA says there is no need,

nor market demand, for such services.

Virtually all cars, except vintage cars, have their own inbuilt windscreen washers (a mandatory

requirement in NZ since 1992, but commonly fitted for decades prior), the operation of which is

checked at the mandatory Warrant of Fitness. If a windscreen is dirty or if visibility is

compromised by dirt or other contaminants, the driver can clean it themselves while in motion.

If for some reason this is inadequate, or non-functional, or the windscreen is smeared, then the

driver can manually clean the windscreen at a service station with a brush and detergent. These

are commonplace and will be known to drivers in the city centres within which window washers

solicit. Window washing equipment at service stations is free to use and does not oblige drivers

to purchase fuel or any other products.

Given these free options, the NZAA contends that vehicle window washers provide no service

that motorists require or that cannot be readily supplied by other means at no cost.

NZAA submission: Land Transport (Vehicle User Safety) Amendment Bill

However, the NZAA is conscious that such offending could tie up the justice system for repeat offenders and those that do not pay their infringements, and that in some cases the Bill would simply be providing a pathway into the criminal justice system for low-level offenders. The design and implementation of the Bill needs to take this into account to ensure that it does not create more problems than it solves, for example by providing an alternative punishment to fines such as a community justice panel or educational diversion.